

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,049	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LaToya C. Younger	1743	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After-Final

 (1) LaToya C. Younger.

(3) _____.

 (2) D. Fekete.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12/28/05
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney Fekete was notified of the issuance of an advisory action in response to the After Final amendment filed on November 25, 2005

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)